

# MYOB Advanced

## Release Notes

2018.1.1

**myob**

# Contents

Introduction	1
What's New in this Release? .....	1
Installing this Release .....	1
New Features	2
Advanced People .....	2
New Employee Fields .....	2
Tenant Changes.....	2
Resolved Issues	3
Organisation.....	3
Finance.....	3
Distribution.....	7
Projects.....	10
Payroll.....	11
System and Platform.....	12
Known Issues	17

# Introduction

Welcome to the 2018.1.1 release of MYOB Advanced.

## What's New in this Release?

The 2018.1.1 release is an update to the 2018.1.0 major release, which adds support for the Payroll module in the new user interface and company structure introduced by 2018.1.0. This release also adds support for reporting payroll data to the ATO using Single Touch Payroll.

## Installing this Release

The 2018.1.1 release is automatically deployed to all production accounts.

**Note:** An updated licence is required to enable configuration options for the new features. While accounts in our managed environment will have their licences updated automatically, a local installation must be updated manually by navigating to the Licence Maintenance (SM.20.15.10) form and clicking the **Update Licence** button.

# New Features

## Advanced People

This release adds support for the Advanced People suite in the 2018 versions of MYOB Advanced. The Payroll module and all associated functions are now available and integrate with the new user interface introduced in the 2018.1.0 release.

### New Employee Fields

The following new fields have been added to the General Info tab on the Employees form (EP.20.30.00) under Personal info:

- Gender
- Marital Status
- Spouse/Partner Name

## Tenant Changes

The 2018.1.0 release included significant changes to the organisational structure, introducing the tenant > company > branch structure. This release updates to the new structure, so that tenants can only contain companies that have the same jurisdiction as the tenant. This has the following effects when upgrading to 2018.1.1:

- If a tenant has only Australian companies on upgrade, any new company created will be locked to the AU jurisdiction. Similarly, if a tenant has only New Zealand companies on upgrade, any new company created will be locked to the NZ jurisdiction.
- If a tenant has Australian and New Zealand companies on upgrade, the jurisdiction of new companies will be locked to the jurisdiction of the first company in the Companies table, i.e. company 3. The existing companies' jurisdictions will not be affected.
- If a tenant has no Australian or New Zealand companies, then the user has the option to apply AU, NZ or Other as a jurisdiction when creating a company. Once a jurisdiction is selected for the first company, any companies created subsequently will be locked to that jurisdiction.

The **Jurisdiction Country** field on the Companies screen has been renamed to **Jurisdiction**. The field will contain one of AU, NZ or Other. It is read-only except for in the case where a tenant has no Australian or New Zealand companies, as detailed above.

# Resolved Issues

The following table details the issues that are addressed by this release.

## Organisation

Problem ID	Description
-	On the Marketing Campaigns (CR.20.20.00) and Marketing Lists (CR.20.40.00) forms, shared filters were not available in the <b>Shared Filters to Apply</b> box. This has been resolved.
-	Through the use of a data access class extension, fields could be appended to the field list used by the system for generating a related entity description on various forms, such as Tasks (EP.40.40.00), Events (EP.40.41.00), and Activity (CR.30.60.10). This has been resolved.
-	If an approval map that was used for a document approval had three or more steps on the Approval Maps form (EP.20.50.15) and two consequent steps of the approval map had the same approver, the steps of the approval map that followed those two steps could be skipped during the approval when the approver approved the document. This has been resolved.
-	Email processing no longer sends routing emails to inactive employees. Routing emails could result in response loops if the employee email was deactivated and the mailer daemon sent the Undeliverable notification.

## Finance

Problem ID	Description
-	On the Import Bank Transactions form (CA.30.65.00), a user could not upload a file with bank transactions if they were signed in to a locale that used a different character for the decimal delimiter than the one used in the default locale. This has been resolved.
-	If a user left the <b>Entry Type</b> box empty on the CA Transactions form (CA.30.40.00) and entered the transaction details, the <b>Entry Type</b> box became unavailable and the transaction could not be saved. This has been resolved; transaction details cannot be entered in the table on the CA Transactions form until a cash account and an entry type are specified for the transaction.
-	A customer that had multiple locations could not be extended to a vendor if the default vendor class was not specified on the Accounts Payable Preferences form (AP.10.10.00). This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	When a user released a Sales Orders invoice with a captured credit card payment, the system did not generate a batch in the General Ledger module if the customer associated with the invoice had the <b>Auto-Apply Payments</b> check box selected on the General Info tab of the Customers form (AR.30.30.00). Additionally, in the Accounts Receivable module, the payment document was not created. These issues have been resolved.
-	Inactive General Ledger accounts with zero balances in the selected period were loaded for revaluation to the table on the Revalue GL Accounts form (CM.50.60.00). This has been resolved.
-	If a customer refund associated with a credit card payment method was processed by a bank on a date that belonged to the period later than the refund period, there was a discrepancy between data in the General Ledger and Accounts Receivable modules. This has been resolved.
-	On the Process Bank Transaction form (CA.30.60.00), if a user clicked the <b>Edit</b> link next to an attached file, the system did not open the selected file for editing on the File Maintenance form (SM.20.25.10). This has been resolved.
-	When a user changed a payment date in a foreign currency payment on the Payments and Applications form (AR.30.20.00), the system cleared the default value in the <b>AR Account</b> box on the Financial Details tab and incorrectly displayed the “Denominated GL Account currency is different from transaction currency” error message if an account denominated in the payment currency was assigned to the customer as AR account. This has been resolved.
-	It was not possible to submit an Accounts Receivable payment in a foreign currency via the contract-based API if an AR account associated with the customer was denominated in the payment currency. This has been resolved.
-	If the “By Segmented Key” look up mode was selected for the SUBACCOUNT segmented key on the Segmented Keys form (CS.20.20.00), users could not obtain the list of the segmented values by placing the cursor in the Subaccount box on the Account Details form (GL.40.40.00) and pressing the F3 key. This has been resolved.
-	Users could not use Nordic characters, such as å, ä, or ö, in the <b>Description</b> box on the Contract Items form (CT.20.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	If an Accounts Payable check was applied to a debit adjustment, the system reflected the application amount with an incorrect sign on the Cash Flow Forecast form (CA.40.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	The line order specified in the invoices generated by the contract billing process did not match the line order specified in the corresponding contract. This has been resolved.
-	The Accounts Receivable documents in the “Balanced” status could not be displayed on the Release AR Documents form (AR.50.10.00), if, in the system, there were credit card payments that were not captured yet and the <b>Enabled Integrated CC Processing</b> check box was ticked on the Accounts Receivable Preferences form (AR.10.10.00).

Problem ID	Description
-	<p>The Accounts Payable documents with the "Pre-Released" status were not reflected in the AP Edit (AP.61.07.00) and AP Edit Detailed (AP.61.05.00) reports.</p> <p>This has been resolved; the <b>Include Prebooked Transactions</b> check box has been added to the Report Parameters tab of the AP Edit and AP Edit Detailed reports. When this check box is selected, the pre-released documents are included in the report.</p>
-	<p>If for a vendor an account and a subaccount were specified in the <b>Reclassification Account</b> and <b>Reclassification Subaccount</b> boxes, respectively, on the GL Accounts tab of the Vendors form (AP.30.30.00) and then the Expense Reclassification feature was disabled in the system, users could not reverse Accounts Payable bills associated with the vendor. This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>If on the Process Bank Transactions form (CA.30.60.00) a user created a cash entry and overrode the default value of the offset account, which was set to the expense account of the selected item, the system ignored the account specified by the user and posted the transaction to the item expense account. This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>On the Depreciation History tab of the Fixed Assets form (FA.30.30.00), the names of the Calculated and Depreciated columns could not be localized. This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>A description of a journal entry could not be set in one call through the contract-based API. This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>The FA Balance by GL Account report (FA.64.30.00) did not show transactions with negative amounts, which caused wrong account balances displayed in the report. This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>If, on the GL Accounts tab of the Vendors form (AP.30.30.00), the expense subaccount was selected for a vendor but the expense account was empty, the system did not compose the expense subaccount in the vendor documents on the Bills and Adjustments form (AP.30.10.00) and Quick Checks form (AP.30.40.00) by using the "LL" mask specified in the <b>Combine Expense Sub. from</b> box on the Accounts Payable Preferences form (AP.10.10.00). This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>On the Run Allocations form (GL.50.45.00), users could not perform General Ledger allocations with the "By Dest. Account YTD" distribution method if no transactions were posted to the destination account and subaccount within the allocation period in the allocation ledger (or in the base ledger if it was specified in the allocation template). This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>It was possible to create more than one unreleased application for the same Accounts Receivable or Accounts Payable document, which could lead to capturing a credit card payment twice for the same invoice on the Capture Payment form (AR.51.15.00). This has been resolved.</p>
-	<p>On the Customer Details form (AR.40.20.00), the <b>Enter New Invoice</b> action did not work if the Multi-Currency Accounting feature was not enabled on the Enable/Disable Features form (CS.10.00.00). This has been resolved.</p>

Problem ID	Description
-	On the Budgets form (GL.30.20.10), financial periods were not loaded correctly to the table for a selected financial year if the number of the periods in the year differed from the number of the periods in the first financial year. This has been resolved.
-	If for a purchase converted to a fixed asset on the Convert Purchases to Assets form (FA.50.45.00), a reconciliation transaction was deleted on changing the asset branch, the purchase entry was not available for reconciliation anymore. This has been resolved.
-	Users experienced performance issues when they opened the Approve Bills for Payment form (AP.50.20.00). This has been resolved.
-	On the Auto-Apply Payments form (AR.50.60.00), the process of automatic payment application failed with an error if credit memos belonging to customer child accounts were included in the process. This has been resolved.
-	If the Invoice Rounding feature was enabled and the <b>Generate Consolidated Batches</b> check box was selected on the General Ledger Preferences form (GL.10.20.00), the system could post an incorrect entry to the rounding gain account or rounding loss account when a bulk of Accounts Payable documents were released and one of the documents failed to release. This situation occurred only when a user specified a sufficiently large amount in the <b>Rounding Limit</b> box on the General Ledger Preferences form. This has been resolved.
-	In some cases, when multiple prepayments in a foreign currency were applied to a foreign currency bill on the Applications tab of the Bills and Adjustments form (AP.30.10.00), a one-cent discrepancy appeared on the Vendor Details form (AP.40.20.00). The discrepancy was caused by an incorrect rounding of the amount of the realized gains or losses incurred on the transaction. This has been resolved.
-	The AR Edit report (AR.61.10.00) contained documents with the "On Hold" status even if the <b>Include Transactions on Hold</b> check box was cleared. This has been resolved.
-	The total amount in the AP Register report (AP.62.15.00) was incorrect if the report was run with the <b>Document Type</b> parameter specified. This has been resolved.
-	On the Budgets form (GL.30.20.10), users experienced performance issues when they edited a budget consisted of a large number of budget articles. This has been resolved.
-	If on the Ledgers form (GL.20.15.00), a company actual ledger for a consolidation branch was defined and the <b>Branch Accounting</b> check box was ticked, users could not prepare a tax report that required decimal precision other than the precision of the currency associated with the tax agency. This has been resolved.
-	On the Sales Prices form (AR.20.20.00), users could not search by a part of a description in the Price Code lookup table if the "Customer" option was selected in the <b>Price Type</b> field.

Problem ID	Description
-	If the Retainage Support feature was activated and the <b>Retain Taxes</b> check box was cleared on the Accounts Payable Preferences form (AP.10.10.00), users were not able to generate recurring bills with use taxes applied to the bills. The same issue happened when no taxes applied to the bills but a tax zone was specified for the documents.

## Distribution

Problem ID	Description
<b>155986917910</b> 155484797204	If a quantity in a sales order line was partially allocated to be delivered from an existing stock in a warehouse and partial purchasing, the purchase order created for this line included the entire quantity of the sales order line instead of the quantity allocated for partial purchasing. This has been resolved.
-	The name of the PDF file attached to an email notification for the Quote report (SO.64.10.00) did not include a document number. This has been resolved.
-	The email template for the Purchase Order report (PO.64.10.00) contained the incorrect form of the recipient address. This has been resolved.
-	When a user added a new line to the Physical Inventory Count form (IN.30.50.10) and then tried to edit the quantity of an item in this line, the error message "Another process has updated the INPIDetail record. Your changes will be lost." Occurred. This has been resolved.
-	On the Document Details tab of the Purchase Receipts form (PO.30.20.00), an item with the "FIFO" valuation method could be added to a purchase receipt with the negative Ext. Cost amount. This caused no error, but an error did occur when a user tried to release this purchase receipt. This has been resolved; it is now possible to enter negative extended cost amounts for stock items on the Purchase Receipts form (PO.30.20.00).
-	Users could duplicate the warehouse ID by using the <b>Change ID</b> action on the Warehouses form (IN.20.40.00). This has been resolved; during upgrade, if the upgrade procedure finds duplicated warehouse IDs, the procedure adds "+" and a unique index to these IDs. <b>Note:</b> This may cause the warehouse ID to become excessively long. To resolve this situation, an administrator needs to increase the length of the segmented key used for a warehouse ID by five characters.
-	Under certain circumstances, timeouts could occur when a user selected more than 150 orders for the same SO invoice by clicking the <b>Add Order</b> button on the table toolbar of the Document Details tab on the Invoices form (SO.30.30.00). This has been resolved.
-	On the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00), the unpaid balance became negative after a credit card payment had been captured for the related SO invoice. This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	When a user ran the Validate Inventory process on an unreleased IN issue created from an invoice, the system deleted all lines with the SO Shipped allocation type from this issue. This has been resolved.
-	If a user ran the Validate Inventory process on the Validate Inventory form (IN.50.50.00) with the <b>Rebuild Item History</b> check box selected, history for an in-transit warehouse was accumulated without cleaning and was shown in the Historical Inventory Valuation report (IN.61.70.00). This has been resolved.
-	Unconditional manual line discounts were automatically applied to the sales prices on the Catalog (SP.70.00.00), My Cart (SP.70.00.01), and Checkout (SP.70.00.02) forms of the Self-Service Portal. This has been resolved.
-	On the Transaction Details tab of the Transfers form (IN.30.40.00), if a transfer was created within the same warehouse with Location for which the <b>Include in Qty. Available</b> check box was selected on the Location Table tab of the Warehouses form (IN.20.40.00) and <b>To Location ID</b> for which the <b>Include in Qty. Available</b> check box was cleared on the Location Table tab of the Warehouses form, and then on the Validate Inventory form (IN.50.50.00), the validation was performed for the warehouse, the transfer could not be released.
-	The system did not allow the return of serial tracked items via CM orders in cases where the Quantity column of the sales order was set to 1. This has been resolved.
-	On the Bills and Adjustments form (AP.30.10.00), a user could pre-release an Accounts Payable bill that referred to a purchase order line. This has been resolved.
-	<p>When a user clicked <b>Add PO</b> on the table toolbar of the Document Details tab on the Bills and Adjustments form (AP.30.10.00), he or she received an unexpected result for bills whose tax calculation mode contradicted with settings specified on the Tax Details tab of the same form. The error message "AP Error: This operation is available only if the Tax Settings option is specified in the Tax Calculation Mode box on the Financial Details tab." Was not displayed to users each time when users tried to add Pos with contradictory tax settings.</p> <p>This has been resolved; when a tax calculation mode of AP bill equals to Net/Gross and the AP bill does not contain any lines on the Document Details tab of the Bills and Adjustments form, then when a user clicks the <b>Add PO Receipt</b>, <b>Add PO Receipt Line</b>, or <b>Add PO</b> buttons the system updates the tax calculation mode of the AP bill from Net/Gross to Tax Settings (instead of returning an error). If this AP bill contains at least one line, when a user clicks <b>Add PO Receipt</b>, <b>Add PO Receipt Line</b>, <b>Add PO</b>, or <b>Link Line</b> the system returns the following error message: "AP Error: This operation is available only if the Tax Settings option is specified in the Tax Calculation Mode box on the Financial Details tab." When a user adds a line to the AP bill by clicking <b>Add PO Receipt</b>, <b>Add PO Receipt Line</b>, <b>Add PO</b>, or <b>Link Line</b>, the system makes the <b>Tax Calculation Mode</b> box read-only (with the value specified on the Tax Details tab).</p>
-	Terms were propagated for credit memos created from a sales order with the <b>Terms</b> box filled in on the Financial Settings tab of the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00). This led to an incorrect aging of credit memos in the AR Aged Past Due report (AR.63.10.00). This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	On the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00), if a stock item had been replaced with a non-stock item in a sales order of the IN or CM type, inventory allocation was preserved for the stock item instead of being cleared. This has been resolved.
-	On the Purchase Receipts form (PO.30.20.00), it was possible to edit the allocations for released purchase receipts. This has been resolved.
-	If the book quantity of an item exceeded its quantity on hand, the physical inventory counting process was incorrect and could not be completed on the Physical Inventory Review form (IN.30.50.00). This has been resolved; on the Physical Inventory Review form, if the book quantity of an item exceeds the quantity on hand, the quantity on hand is now used as the book quantity.
-	On the Bidding Responses form (RQ.30.30.00), the precision of the Bid Qty. column did not match the precision specified in the <b>Quantity Decimal Places</b> field of the Branches form (CS.10.20.00). This has been resolved.
-	On the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00), if a custom order type with the "Transfer" behaviour was selected in the <b>Order Type</b> field, the <b>Order Nbr.</b> Selector was empty even though orders were created in the system. This has been resolved.
-	Under some circumstances, stock item lines that should have been taken from a sales order to a related invoice by the system were not taken. This has been resolved.
-	In some circumstances, an error occurred after a user selected a warehouse on the Prepare Physical Count (IN504000) form. This has been resolved.
-	It was not possible to localise multiple interface strings related to quick processing of sales orders. This has been resolved.
-	The system did not check user access rights to branches when users opened the Physical Inventory Review form (IN.30.50.00). This has been resolved.
-	On the Stock Items (IN.20.25.00) and Non-Stock Items (IN.20.20.00) forms, a cross-reference record could disappear from the Cross-Reference tab after an unsuccessful save of an inventory item record. This has been resolved.
-	The restriction group functionality was incorrectly applied to reports, and empty reports were shown to a user. This has been resolved.
-	The INTransitLine table was not excluded from snapshot configurations with the Settings only or Settings and Business Accounts export mode, which could cause the issues, such as inability to receive a new 2-step transfer after restoration of the snapshot. This has been resolved.
-	If an organization had multiple warehouses and an employee's role was to accept goods in one of the warehouses of the particular branch, this employee was unable to receive sales orders of the TR type submitted from different branches by using purchase receipts. This has been resolved.
-	When a user opened the source IN document from a batch generated from PO transfer receipt, an empty Transfers form (IN.30.40.00) was opened. This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	Under specific circumstances, if a user created a partial PO receipt, changed its date, and then released the receipt, the corresponding purchase order line was marked as complete. This has been resolved.
-	If there was a non-zero value in the <b>Premium Freight</b> box on the Totals tab of the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00), it was not possible to process the Capture CC Payment action for sales orders with already existing pre-authorization. This has been resolved.

## Projects

Problem ID	Description
-	A billing procedure created project invoices that were grouped by a customer location of a project task, but instead of the project task location, the customer's location was assigned to the created invoice. This has been resolved.
-	In the Formula Editor dialog box that opens from the Calculation Rules tab of the Allocation Rules form (PM.20.75.00), vendor fields were displayed for the Customer object instead of customer ones. This has been resolved.
-	The system could save more than one time card with the same combination of employee ID and week ID on the Employee Time Card form (EP.30.50.00). This has been resolved.
-	Under some circumstances, the error message "Given Project/Contract X is inactive" could appear on the Invoices and Memos form (AR.30.10.00) after upgrading to 2018.1. This has been resolved.
-	Under some circumstances, the project balance validation procedure could clear quantities from the PMHistory table. This has been resolved.
-	It was not possible to change the visual ID of the empty item in the <b>Empty Item Code</b> box on the Projects Preferences form (PM.10.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	During an upgrade to 2018.1, an error could occur and the upgrade could fail if there were duplicate records in the Default Task for GL Account table on the GL Accounts tab of the Projects form (PM.30.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	On the Balances tab of the Projects form (PM.30.10.00), a zero amount was displayed in the <b>Committed Invoiced Amount</b> box even if the related commitment had been completely invoiced. This has been resolved.
-	The system ignored project-specific mailing settings when printing a project-related Accounts Receivable invoice. This has been resolved.
-	The inventory item, which is reserved for use with projects only, was erroneously available for selection on the Inventory Item Access form (IN.10.30.00), which caused an error if a user attempted to create a restriction group that included all items. This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	On the Billing Rules form (PM.20.70.00), the <b>Source Transaction (S)</b> option (the S masking symbol) was not available in the <b>Sales Subaccount Mask</b> box if the "Time and Material" billing type was selected. The mask of the <b>Source Transaction (S)</b> option inherited the subaccount from the Debit Subaccount column of the project transaction that was being billed. This has been resolved.
-	If a user entered data on the Revenue Budget tab or the Cost Budget tab of the Projects form (PM.30.10.00) and made a mistake in one of the key fields of a budget record (such as project task, inventory ID, cost code, or account group), the system displayed an error in the record, and the error could not be corrected. This has been resolved.
-	It was impossible to release a change order on the Change Orders form (PM.30.80.00) if that change order was modifying an existing purchase order with the <b>Validate Total on Entry For Normal and Standard Orders</b> check box selected for it on the Purchase Orders Preferences form (PO.10.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	In some cases, when a user attempted to modify a project budget record on the Projects form (PM.30.10.00), the system returned the following error message: "The key cannot be updated at this time. Try to save your previous changes first". This has been resolved.
-	<p>If a user used the Automation Steps form (SM.20.50.00) to customise the NL Closed, NL Open, NL Pending Email, and NL Pending Printed automation steps for the Purchase Orders screen ID prior to upgrading to 2018.1, the upgrade to 2018.1 could result in corrupting these automation steps and making it impossible to perform any actions in the existing purchase orders in the Purchase Orders form (PO.30.10.00).</p> <p>This has been resolved; the <b>Active</b> check box on the Automation Steps form (SM.20.50.00) is now cleared for the following automation steps for the Purchase Orders screen ID:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NL Closed</li> <li>• NL Open</li> <li>• NL Pending Email</li> <li>• NL Pending Printed</li> </ul>
-	<p>On the Projects form (PM.30.10.00), the values in the Actual Quantity column of the Cost Budget tab were calculated incorrectly.</p> <p>This has been resolved; users can now validate project balances of the needed projects on the Validate Project Balances form (PM.50.40.00).</p>

## Payroll

Problem ID	Description
<b>159665538690</b> 159592840231	The error message "Object reference not set to an instance of an object" could appear when attempting to submit a superannuation batch on the Superannuation Batch Details form (MP.PP.50.06). This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
<b>144826100917</b> 143782843351	Employee IDs were truncated to 10 characters on the Manage Pays form (MP.PP.41.10). This has been resolved; Payroll screens and reports now allow for longer employee IDs.
<b>160038805702</b> 159878501724	When multiple users of MYOB Advanced were logged in and editing pay details at the same time, it was possible to duplicate employee records, which resulted in errors when accessing the Pay Details form (MP.PP.23.10). This has been resolved.
-	An error could occur when trying to update a Super Guarantee pay item in any employee's Standard Pay. This has been resolved.

## System and Platform

Problem ID	Description
<b>155915064103</b> 160427573111 160273307731 159840260692 159592840151 159444633041 159332362121 158578195008 158156652651 158065646251 157127793151 156848077111 156807919621 156781708411 156748317327 156380903441 156257315996 155636358201 155476224481 155460572381 155421922521	In Google Chrome, the cursor could disappear when a new detail line was added on the Journal Transactions form (GL.30.10.00). This has been resolved.
<b>155006877594</b> 154354772832	If the <b>Default Email Account</b> on the Email Preferences form (SM.20.40.01) was empty, users could not create new emails. This has been resolved.
<b>154443256219</b> 154410333941	Boolean conditions (Equal to True) set up for filters on the Filters form (CS.20.90.10) did not work when the filter was applied to a Generic Inquiry. This has been resolved.
<b>154900454248</b> 154563042451	The Profit & Loss report (GL.63.50.00) would time out when it contained a large amount of data. This has been resolved.
<b>150542485046</b> 150397183541	If multiple reports were configured to be merged and sent in one email by a schedule, multiple emails (the same number as the number of merged reports) were generated by the system instead of one. This has been resolved.
-	It was possible to use angle brackets in tenant names, which could the site to experience problems. This has been resolved.
-	An error occurred when editing generic inquiries, if an inactive financial period was specified as an inquiry parameter. This has been resolved.
-	If the system was set up with multiple languages, data became corrupted if a user moved lines on the Results Grid tab of the Generic Inquiry form (SM.20.80.00). This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	Two <b>Delete Row</b> buttons were present on the table toolbar of the Customer Contacts form of the Self-Service Portal (SP.40.80.43). This has been resolved.
-	When setting the trigger conditions of business events, it was impossible to use the fields of inquiry forms that contained formulas. This has been resolved.
-	If a custom action was added on the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00), the error message "This form cannot be automated. Request is not available in this context." Occurred when the Sales Orders form was selected on the Import Scenarios form (SM.20.60.25). This has been resolved.
-	In the Self-Service Portal, it was not possible to delete a file that had been attached in another module of MYOB Advanced.
-	<p>An error occurred while trying to retrieve data from an inquiry form without parameters by using the contract-based REST API with the PUT HTTP method.</p> <p>This has been addressed; we recommend retrieving data from an inquiry form without parameters by using the contract-based REST API with the PUT HTTP method and passing empty parameters of the inquiry in JSON format (that is, "{}") in the request body.</p>
-	Links to the forms on the predefined dashboards of the SalesDemo data template opened the forms in the classic UI even if the user used the modern UI. This has been resolved.
-	<p>The Administrator role did not have access rights to the following Customer Management and Salesforce Integration-related forms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Campaign Summary (CR.20.20.BI)</li> <li>• Campaign Invoices (CR.20.30.00)</li> <li>• Campaign Sales Orders (CR.20.30.10)</li> <li>• Lead Summary (CR.30.10.PT)</li> <li>• Leads by Geography (CR.30.11.PT)</li> <li>• Accounts by Geography (CR.30.31.PT)</li> <li>• Opportunity Summary (CR.30.40.PT)</li> <li>• Opportunities by Geography (CR.30.41.PT)</li> <li>• Opportunity Products (CR.30.42.PT)</li> <li>• Case Summary (CR.30.60.PT)</li> <li>• Cases by Geography (CR.30.61.PT)</li> <li>• Cases by Owner (CR.30.62.PT)</li> <li>• Support Activities (CR.30.61.BI)</li> <li>• Quote (CR.60.45.00)</li> <li>• Salesforce Sync (SF.20.50.20)</li> <li>• Salesforce Sync (SF.20.50.30)</li> <li>• Salesforce Data Resync (SF.20.50.35)</li> <li>• Salesforce Sync State (SF.20.50.40)</li> </ul> <p>This has been resolved.</p>

Problem ID	Description
-	JPEG files were not identified as image files in the file upload preferences. This has been resolved.
-	If a page with a data entry form was minimized so that it was necessary to scroll down to see a detail table, and a user opened any selector in the table, the cursor moved to the top of the page. This has been resolved.
-	The Company.Sequence sequence in the database became corrupted if a user that did not have access to all companies opened the Companies form (SM.20.35.30). This has been resolved.
-	In the Report Designer, a field disappeared when a user moved it if a section above was collapsed. This has been resolved.
-	In the Internet Explorer browser, an incorrect form opened instead of an entry form when a user attempted to create a record from a generic inquiry form used as an entry point. This has been resolved.
-	The – character was displayed in the table columns whose display mode was set to “Hint”. This has been resolved.
-	On the Document Details tab of the Purchase Orders form (PO.30.10.00), when a user attempted to add a second item to the table using the grid view, the item was not shown in the table and the system reverted to the first item, but if the user then switched to the form view, both items were shown. This has been resolved.
-	The title of the dialog box with a confirmation message, which was shown to a user in the modern user interface if the user attempted to delete a workspace, was hardcoded and could not be customized. This has been resolved; the value of the AppDefaultTitle parameter in the appSettings section of web.config can now be used for customizing the title of the dialog box.
-	The form specified in the <b>Home Page</b> box on the User Profile form (SM.20.30.10) was not displayed as the home page of MYOB Advanced in the new user interface when a user signed in to the system. This has been resolved.
-	Under specific circumstances, a custom font selected in the <b>Font</b> box on the Report Definitions form (CS.20.60.00) could disappear. This has been resolved.
-	The reading of the Enable/Disable Features screen (CS.10.00.00) by the contract-based API was slow, due to unnecessary SQL requests. This has been resolved; the logic that raised warnings at requests through the contract-based API has been disabled.
-	The corrections made by the spellcheck function in Google Chrome were not handled by MYOB Advanced forms. This has been resolved.
-	In instances with multiple companies, if a list of visible companies was specified directly in the web.config file, the “Object reference not set to an instance of an object” error occurred when a user tried to sign in after upgrading to 2018.1. This has been resolved.
-	After a user tried to rearrange ARM reports in the site map, the menu disappeared.

Problem ID	Description
-	There was a performance issue with import scenarios. The import of 3000+ records could take about one hour. This has been resolved.
-	The system allowed to enter only two characters followed by six digits in the Create New Screen dialog box in Customization Manager. This has been resolved; the system now allows the input of up to eight characters or digits.
-	In the Report Designer, it was not possible to define the number of decimal places for the float type of parameter. This has been resolved.
-	<p>The maximum number of users allowed to use the system concurrently according to the currently applied license included API sessions of client applications integrated with MYOB Advanced.</p> <p>This has been resolved; user sessions of conventional users and API users are now counted separately. Trial mode allows only two conventional users and one API user to concurrently use the system. Once a license has been applied to the instance, the particular license defines how many user sessions can be active in the instance. The license restriction for conventional users is shown in the Concurrent Users box on the License tab of the License Monitoring Console form (SM.60.40.00).</p> <p>On the License tab of the License Monitoring Console form (SM.60.40.00), the <b>Maximum Number of Web Services API Users</b> box displays the license restriction for API users. When an extra API user tries to sign in to the system and the number of API user sessions exceeds your license restriction, an error message is displayed and the sign-in process is interrupted.</p>
-	There were multiple issues in the Acumatica theme CSS file that caused problems with writing custom themes. This has been resolved.
-	When a user went to the next or to the previous page of the lookup box on the Employees (EP.20.30.00), Vendors (AP.30.30.00), and Customers (AR.30.30.00) forms, the system skipped some records from the list. This has been resolved.
-	Wiki articles could not be viewed in the Firefox browser if the new UI menu was collapsed to top. This has been resolved.
-	When a user opened the Restricted Entities form (SM.20.10.40), the system performed some unnecessary queries that affected the system performance. This has been resolved.
-	If an entity attribute, which was defined on the Attributes form (CS.20.50.00), was used for a custom entity and then was made inactive, an error occurred when this attribute was deleted. This has been resolved.
-	<p>It was not possible to type the @ symbol in the text field of any form by pressing the AltGr+Q shortcut on the German keyboard layout because the system placed the cursor to the Search box after user pressed this shortcut.</p> <p>This has been resolved; the AltGr+Q shortcut can now be successfully used for input of the @ symbol and the Ctrl+Q shortcut for placing a cursor to the Search box.</p>
-	Running a large number of reports in the modern user interface caused high memory consumption. This has been resolved.

Problem ID	Description
-	The raw-default values were missing in the exported XML file if the database schema file was generated by using the ac.exe tool. This has been resolved; the +dccrd flag, which transfers the default constraint during the update of a database from console, has been added.
-	If a user published customization project which extended the PX.Objects.CR.Contact DAC class, the generic inquiries with the PX.Objects.CR.Lead table used for them became broken. This has been resolved.
-	On the report forms, the Report Versions tab was accessible to the users who did not have the Report Designer role applied to them. This has been resolved.
-	If a user tried to retrieve a list of records by using the Contract-Base REST API and used null as a field value condition for the \$filter parameter, an error occurred. This has been resolved.
-	If a company (Company 2) was created from a snapshot of another company (Company 1) that contained a customization with endpoints, the endpoints in Company 1 could lose their data after this customization was published in Company 2. This has been resolved.
-	The contract-based API didn't obtain lead data. This has been resolved.
-	The system returned an error during publication of a customization project that contained the customized INItemClass DAC class. This has been resolved.
-	The system opened only a tab with a shipment after the execution of the Quick Process action on the Sales Orders form (SO.30.10.00). This has been resolved.
-	The RowUpdated event handlers could be invoked twice for customized DACs. This has been resolved.
-	If MYOB Advanced was in trial mode, an error occurred when a user tried to import and create a snapshot on the Tenants (SM203520) form. This has been resolved.
-	Processing of import scenarios triggered from business events failed because the <b>Branch</b> field was not filled in automatically with the default branch of a signed-in user. This has been resolved.

# Known Issues

The following known issues and breaking changes have been identified in this release.

## Partner users visible on some forms

User accounts with the licence type MYOB\_Partner and the Admin account used exclusively by MYOB are hidden from all other users; they do not appear on user selection lists. However, these user accounts will appear in selection lists on the following forms:

- Event > Attendees (CR.30.60.30)
- Role List (SM.65.10.00)

## Error message when switching tenants

The error "{ \"Message\": \"Unauthorized request.\"}" appears when switching tenants from the User dropdown at the top right of the screen. To change tenants, the user must log out and then log in to the new tenant.